

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the February 1, 2007 Office Action. In response, applicant has canceled claims 1-3, 7-19, 22, 23 and 55. Moreover, applicant has amended claims 56, 58, 59, and 61-66, as will be more fully described herein. Lastly, applicant has added new claims 68-98. Reconsideration and reexamination of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

In the February 1, 2007 Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 59-65 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, applicant has combined the recitations of claims 59 with 55, and thus this claim is allowable. Claims 56-58, 66 and 67 depend from new independent claim 59, and thus are in condition for allowance as well. New claim 68 depends from claim 66 and merely specifies the combined tests to be selected from, and thus is in condition for allowance as well.

Each of claims 61, 62, 63, 64 and 65 have been combined with the recitations of original independent claim 55, and thus are allowable. New claims 69-98 correspond to claims 56-58 and 66-68, so as to create claims depending from each of independent claims (60-65). Accordingly, all of the new claims are in condition for allowance as well.

In light of the foregoing, all of the currently pending claims 56-98 are in condition for allowance, notice of which is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP

/Scott W. Kelley/

Scott W. Kelley
Registration No. 30,762

SWK:nh
6320 Canoga Avenue, Suite 1650
Woodland Hills, California 91367
(818) 347-7900